

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/711,148	BAUER ET AL.	

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
BEN C. WANG	2192	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** pending

(1) Mr. Scott S. Kokka (Reg. No. 51,893). (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) BEN C. WANG. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 29 September 2009

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 25

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Examiner proposed amendments to claims 1 and 25 to overcome potential rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph by more clearly and positively reciting structural elements of a statutory machine.

Mr. Kokka authorized an Examiner's Amendment incorporating the proposed changes.